#### SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

**REPORT TO:** Development and Conservation Control Committee 10<sup>th</sup> May 2006

**AUTHOR/S:** Director of Development Services

#### S/0562/06/F - Ickleton

Erection of Cereal Breeding Building, Greenhouses and Polytunnels on Land at Rectory Farm, Grange Road for Messrs P R Wombwell, L G Duke and R G R Smith and RAGT Seeds Ltd

Recommendation: Approval Date for determination: 20<sup>th</sup> June 2006 (Major Application)

Members visited the site on Monday 30<sup>th</sup> January 2006.

# Site and Proposal

- 1. The site, which is irregular in shape and measures 350m x 130m approximately, is part of a field and is located within a valley in undulating countryside. Surrounding land rises to the north, west and south. The Imperial War Museum Film Archive is to the east. Rectory Farm lies to the north. A ditch, culveted in places, runs northeast to southwest along the southern boundary of the site. There are trees and planting on the southern side of the ditch. Grange Road runs northeast to southwest to the south of the site. There is a roadside hedge along the northern side of Grange Road to the east of the site, but no hedge along the section fronting the site or to the west. Grange Road is not wide enough to allow two vehicles to pass. The nearest public right of way runs northeast to southwest approximately 1km to the north of the site.
- 2. This full application, registered on the 21<sup>st</sup> March 2006, and amended on the 27<sup>th</sup> April 2006, proposes a cereal breeding complex comprising a 90m x 36m x 6.5m to eaves/8.1m to ridge olive green profiled steel sheet building; 10no. 13.8m x 9.8m x 3.3m to eaves/5.6m to ridge and 1no. 53m x 9.8m x 3.3m to eaves/5.6m to ridge aluminium frame over buff brick plinth greenhouses; and a 50m x 34m x 3m high polytunnels building. All but one of the greenhouses are to be artificially lit to provide extended day length and light intensity during the late Autumn and Winter periods. Black-out blinds are proposed for those greenhouses that would be lit. The polytunnels would not be artificially lit. The plans indicate that woodland belts and blocks would be planted along the northern and western boundaries with individual blocks and trees planted along the eastern and southern boundaries. A new pond is also proposed within the site. A total of 43 people would be employed at the site.
- 3. This application is the same as application S/2236/05/F as amended save that it is accompanied by a revised Flood Risk Assessment.
- 4. The application is supported by a letter, highway statement (including a survey of existing traffic flows on Grange Road and Elmdon Road and predicted traffic flows), landscape statement, biodiversity assessment, details of measures to control light pollution from the greenhouses, a Green Travel Plan (including the appointment of a travel plan co-ordinator and principally through the encouragement of car sharing and cycling), Employee Travel Distance Information (which shows that the average travel distance from home to work would increase from 10.4 miles to 14.6 miles as a result of the move from Trumpington to Ickleton) and revised Flood Risk Assessment.

- 5. The letter from the agent submitted as part of the application states that: the cereal breeding activity within RAGT's Seeds has been providing innovative new cereal varieties to the UK farmer since the beginning of the c.20; the cereal breeding activity was bought by RAGT in 2004 without the current Trumpington site which, due to urban encroachment, is no longer suitable, or available for plant breeding; RAGT has been actively searching for a new site since the beginning of autumn 2004, during which time 28 potential sites were shortlisted; the Rectory Farm site is the only site which adequately fulfils RAGT's requirements for soil type, access to irrigation, rotational entry and land area availability, whilst being sufficiently close to the current site to allow retention of current staff; the seed supply part of the activity has already relocated from Trumpington to Stretham: cereal breeding is essentially a field based agricultural activity; new varieties of wheat and barley will be developed at the Rectory Farm site as well as field trials of oil seed rape; selected material will be threshed and processed and then profiled using analytical and molecular markers; staff are involved with field, barn and glass house work and this close proximity between selection fields, barn, threshing rooms and glasshouses is essential; the land required for breeding must be uniform, of good quality, suitable for small-scale agricultural equipment and have access to irrigation; farmer partners need to be flexible and committed to RAGT's work; and, in essence, the land requirement and landowner commitment are key drivers in identifying Rectory Farm as the new site for RAGT's plant breeding activities.
- 6. A letter received from RAGT prior to the submission of the application states that: the first consideration in locating a new site is the need for 150 to 200 hectares of land of sufficiently good, workable quality, with potential for irrigation and with the correct crop rotation; the plant breeding building must be located centrally to the land being used for the plant breeding work because the core field breeding activity, using 40 to 50 hectares annually, is very labour intensive, requiring technicians to carry out detailed field notation, selection and harvest (largely by hand) amongst over 10,000 segregated breeding lines; the same technical staff are involved in the processing of harvested material (threshing and glasshouse work) and the running of out of season (November to April) tests on over 50,000 selected lines for quality and disease resistance; the staff also provide an out of season testing service for field programmes based in France, Germany and the Czech Republic; and the inability to base the breeding related activities on one site, including a minimal number of support staff (HR, admin and financial control represent around 7% of the headcount) would require increased daily traffic and staff movement between sites and would also lead to important losses in work efficiency.

## **Planning History**

- 7. An application for the same development, save that the current application is accompanied by a revised Flood Risk Assessment, was refused as amended under reference **S/2236/05/F** for the following reason:
  - 1. Insufficient information has been submitted to allow the Local Planning Authority to fully assess the impact of the proposed development upon the floodplain regime. The submitted Flood Risk Assessment does not adequately demonstrate that the 1 in 100 year critical storm will be contained on site and only discharged at the greenfield runoff rate, and no details have been submitted with regards to the location or assessment of the proposed swales.

The proposal is therefore contrary to: Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Structure Plan 2003 Policy P1/2, which states that no new development will be permitted within or which is likely to adversely affect functional floods plains or other areas

where adequate flood protection cannot be given and/or there is significant risk of increasing flood risk elsewhere; Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Structure Plan 2003 Policy P6/3, which states that, if development is permitted in areas where flood protection is required, flood defence measures and design features must give sufficient protection to ensure that an unacceptable risk is not incurred, both locally and elsewhere; and South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004 Policy CS5, which states that planning permission will not be granted for development where the site is liable to flooding, or where development is likely to increase the risk of flooding elsewhere by materially impeding the flow or storage of flood water, increase flood risk in areas downstream due to additional surface water runoff or increase the number of people or properties at risk, unless it is demonstrated that the above effects can be overcome by appropriate alleviation and mitigation measures providing the necessary improvements would not damage interests of nature conservation.

- 8. Application S/2236/05/F was considered at the 1<sup>st</sup> February 2006 meeting of this Committee. Members resolved to give officers delegated powers to: approve the application as amended provided the Environment Agency raised no objections to the proposal in response to the submitted Flood Risk Assessment and subject to the receipt of a further amended plan to satisfactorily address the 30<sup>th</sup> January 2006 comments of the Local Highway Authority; or refuse the application as amended if the Environment Agency does raise objections to the proposal in response to the submitted Flood Risk Assessment and/or a further amended plan to satisfactorily address the 30<sup>th</sup> January 2006 comments of the Local Highway Authority is not received. A further amended plan was received which the Local Highway Authority confirmed was acceptable but the Environment Agency continued to object to the development on the grounds that insufficient information had been submitted to allow it to fully assess the impact of the proposed development upon the floodplain regime. The application was therefore refused for the reason set out above.
- 9. The District Council confirmed that prior approval was not required for the erection of an agricultural crop/grain store on the site in March 2005 under permitted development legislation (reference **S/0401/05/PNA**).

# **Planning Policy**

Countryside Policies

- 10. Structure Plan 2003 **Policy P1/2** states that development in the countryside will be resisted unless the proposals can be demonstrated to be essential in a particular rural location.
- 11. Local Plan 2004 **Policy EN1** states that relevant parts of the Landscape Character Areas of England are defined on the Proposals Map. It states that, in all its planning decisions, the District Council will seek to ensure that the local character and distinctiveness of these areas is respected, retained and wherever possible enhanced. It states that, while recognising that landscape is a dynamic concept, planning permission will not be granted for development which would have an adverse effect on the character and local distinctiveness of these areas (the East Anglian Chalk Landscape Character Area in this instance).
- 12. Local Plan 2004 **Policy EN3** states that, in those cases where new development is permitted in the countryside, the Council will require that (a) the scale, design and layout of the scheme (b) the materials used within it, and (c) the landscaping works are

all appropriate to the particular 'Landscape Character Area', and reinforce local distinctiveness wherever possible.

13. Local Plan 2004 **Policy EN5** states that landscaping schemes will be required to accompany applications for development where it is appropriate to the character of the development, its landscape setting and the biodiversity of the locality.

#### Flood Risk

- 14. The southern part of the site is within the Environment Agency's medium to high or low to medium risk flood zones.
- 15. Structure Plan 2003 **Policy P1/2** states that no new development will be permitted within or which is likely to adversely affect functional floods plains or other areas where adequate flood protection cannot be given and/or there is significant risk of increasing flood risk elsewhere. Structure Plan 2003 **Policy P6/3** states that, if development is permitted in areas where flood protection is required, flood defence measures and design features must give sufficient protection to ensure that an unacceptable risk is not incurred, both locally and elsewhere.
- 16. Local Plan 2004 Policy CS5 states that planning permission will not be granted for development where the site is liable to flooding, or where development is likely to: increase the risk of flooding elsewhere by materially impeding the flow or storage of flood water; increase flood risk in areas downstream due to additional surface water runoff; or increase the number of people or properties at risk, unless it is demonstrated that the above effects can be overcome by appropriate alleviation and mitigation measures and secured by planning conditions or planning obligation providing the necessary improvements would not damage interests of nature conservation.

## Nature Conservation

17. Local Plan 2004 Policy EN12 states that the Council will, wherever possible, seek to retain features and habitat types of nature conservation value where these occur on sites not specifically identified in the plan. It states that planning permission will only be permitted where the reasons for development clearly outweigh the need to retain the feature or habitat type and in such cases developers will be expected to provide appropriate mitigation measures. Appropriate management of features and habitat types will be sought by the imposition of conditions, by the use of planning obligations, and by concluding management agreements with landowners and developers.

## Consultations

- 18. **Ickleton Parish Council** recommends refusal of the application and repeating its comments in relation to application S/2236/05/F:
  - a. "This was a big additional development in visual terms in that particular 'valley' especially the barn (which is exceptionally large)/greenhouses and polytunnels.
  - b. Grange Road is a single-track road this causes concern. An extra passing bay should be added near the Lilac hedge on the road coming from Ickleton Grange.
  - c. Traffic movements at the fork junction of Grange Road/Elmdon Road. Suggestion to amend the priority from Elmdon Road to Grange Road.
  - d. Traffic movements coming from Duxford, who would wish to turn right at the crossroads into Grange Road could be a danger.

- e. Trees that are planted should be managed correctly to enhance their growth and to shield the view.
- f. The Green Travel Plan should be re-worked. No mention of re-use of water/solar panels.
- g. When the Imperial War Museum film bunkers were built, it was then stated that they presented a fire threat to any nearby buildings. This does not appear to have been taken into account."
- 19. **Chief Environmental Health Officer** states that there are no significant impacts from an Environmental Health standpoint.
- 20. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the **Ecology Officer** strongly supported the application for the following reasons: An adequate level of biodiversity assessment has been undertaken in order to support the application; the application can demonstrate a net gain for biodiversity such as 3,500 square metres of chalk and meadow grassland, 10,000 square metres of deciduous woodland and hedgerows, a new pond, 80 hectares of less intensively managed farmland and habitat for farmland BAP species of skylark, grey partridge and brown hare.
- 21. He recommended that a S.106 Agreement should include measures to ensure the correct management of the chalk and meadow grasslands, which take up to 10 years to fully develop, and the submission of a 5 and 10 year monitoring report. He asked whether a barn owl box could be erected on the side of the main building and recommended a condition requiring the final location of the passing bays to ensure that they avoid species rich areas.
- 22. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the **Local Highway Authority** stated that, given the scope of development and traffic likely to be generated, it has no objections to the scheme and confirmed that the proposed off-site passing bays and site access specification to mitigate the affect of the increase in vehicular traffic on the narrow carriageway of Grange Road is acceptable. It stated that a Green Travel Plan should be secured.
- 23. Following receipt of the revised Flood Risk Assessment accompanying this application, the **Environment Agency** raises no objections subject to the imposition of conditions relating to implementation of the measures set out in the Flood Risk Assessment and pollution control of the water environment.
- 24. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the **County Archaeology Office** states that, on the basis of an evaluation conducted in 2000 to the east of the application site, which found no evidence of archaeology, it recommends that an archaeological condition is not necessary.
- 25. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the **Cambs Fire & Rescue Service** raises no objections and confirms that additional water supplies for fire fighting are not required.

# Representations

26. Occupiers of 33 Abbey Street support the proposed cereal breeding activity and the retention of land in agricultural use but have the following comments to make on the project: the large 'barn' would have a significant negative visual impact; proximity to Imperial War Museum nitrate film store; loss of protected verges as a result of construction of passing bays but also by cars driving over them rather than stopping or reversing and using passing bays; poor visibility at the junction of Grange Road and Elmdon Road; as most traffic to the site would approach Ickleton from Duxford, traffic

- calming is needed at or before Abbey Street bends sharply into Duxford Road; and, should extra water be permitted to flow down Grange Road from the site, the existing flooding problem in the area would be exacerbated.
- 27. Occupiers of 8 Brookhampton Street support the proposal stating that: over the years we have seen the loss of farms in the village to housing and this is a good opportunity to redress the balance; the area would be landscaped and passing bays could be provided in Grange Road although we cannot see that the development would generate much additional traffic; and farmers are being encouraged to diversify and we believe the development would enable a local team to do so.
- 28. The Ickleton Society supports the proposed activity but opposes the proposed location as being unsuitable and inappropriate. It has a number of concerns about the particular site chosen: the significant visual impact, particularly at night from light pollution; proximity to Imperial War Museum nitrate film store; most employees would travel to the site in their own cars; loss of protected verges as a result of construction of passing bays but also by cars driving over them rather than stopping or reversing and using passing bays; poor visibility at the junction of Grange Road and Elmdon Road; and as most traffic to the site would approach Ickleton from Duxford, traffic calming is needed at or before Abbey Street bends sharply into Duxford Road. It also states that the Flood Risk Assessment concentrates on the risks of flooding to the proposed development site itself but does not address the risk to Ickleton.
- 29. The occupiers of Stulps Cottage, Grange Road strongly objected for the following reasons: insufficient consideration has been given to siting, design and landscaping; the negative impact on the environmental qualities of the local area; the site is open, unprotected and exposed and the proposed landscaping is therefore unlikely to succeed; increase in traffic on Grange Road; damage to verges; noise from vehicles passing their house; risk to children's safety due to speed of traffic using Grange Road; it is an inappropriate site for a largely industrial development; proximity to Imperial War Museum nitrate film store; no analysis of the other 27 sites considered has been provided; and additional strain on local water supply.
- 30. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the occupiers of Rectory Farmhouse, Grange Road expressed the following serious concerns: Significant impact on important rural setting contrary to Local Plan Policies EN1 and EN3; The development is not 'essential' in this particular rural location and the proposal is therefore contrary to Structure Plan Policy P1/2; Given the offices, meeting rooms and laboratories included in the main barn, the proposal cannot be considered as anything other than an industrial business and, as such, fails to accord with Local Plan Policy EM6 in that it is not within a village framework or on a brownfield site next to one and does not meet the criteria for smallscale development, defined as being firms who employ 25 people or less; This location was chosen for the Imperial War Museum's nitrate film store in part as it was a remote location, distant from housing and people. It must surely be irresponsible to allow a work place for 40 people to be located adjacent to such a potentially dangerous storage facility; As there is no attempt to locate the development close to existing farm buildings, the proposal does not accord with Local Plan Section 10.18 vi; Significant impact on long distance views; and The increase in traffic along Grange Road, a single track highway, and additional congestion felt by lckleton residents, particularly along Abbey Street.
- 31. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the occupier of Shepherds Cottage, Grange Road objected on the following grounds: considerable visual impact; increase in traffic will alter the nature of Grange Road forever; the junction of Grange Road and Elmdon Road is already dangerous; erosion of Grange Road's protected verges; proximity to

explosive/fire hazard at Imperial War Museum nitrate film store; and need to look at alternative sites.

- 32. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the occupier of Crossways, Grange Road stated that: the development would lead to a substantial further increase in traffic; appropriate measures should be introduced to bring Grange Road up to a standard to support recent and the proposed increase in traffic levels if the development is approved; and a new access to serve Crossways, 50 yards to the west of the existing exit, is requested as the existing access requires drivers to look in three directions at once which has become difficult and would become more difficult if this development went ahead.
- 33. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the occupiers of Crossways Lodge, Grange Road asked that thought be given as to whether Grange Road, and the Grange Road/Elmdon Road junction in particular, can adequately provide for traffic associated with the proposed development.
- 34. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the occupier of Ickleton Grange made the following comments: the site is very close to the Imperial War Museum nitrate film store; the development would result in a large undesirable increase in traffic volume along Grange Road and potential damage to verges; the site would require water in large quantities putting additional strain on an already scant local resource; the barn is unnecessarily high; light pollution is inevitable; the use of a more level site could avoid the proposed great deal of earthworks; and the site could have an undesirable and potentially detrimental effect on the local wildlife population.
- 35. In relation to application S/2236/05/F, the occupier of Larkhill House, Grange Road objected on the following grounds: the development is totally inappropriate in a rural location, particularly such an open site unrelated to other buildings or topographical features; local soil conditions are inhospitable for the level and type of planting required; the development is primarily industrial and, whilst the field plot trials require a rural location, the polytunnels, greenhouses and commercial processing and analysis do not; no analysis of the other 27 sites considered has been provided; the location in entirely unsustainable in transport terms; the Green Travel Plan is something of a flight of fancy; a single days traffic census cannot be a sound basis to make a judgment on the impact of additional traffic; the traffic survey understates traffic flows; overrunning of verges; inadequate visibility between parking bays; the development would add to the already congested traffic at the junction with Duxford Road in the village during rush hour; there are blind turnings at both ends of Grange Road; and proximity to Imperial War Museum nitrate film store.
- 36. The Director of the Imperial War Museum was consulted on application S/2236/05/F but did not comment on the proposal.

# Planning Comments – Key Issues

- 37. The main issues in relation to this application are:
  - a. Flood Risk:
  - b. Whether this countryside site is an appropriate location for the proposed development:
  - c. Impact of the development, including light pollution, on the visual amenities of the landscape;
  - d. Highway matters; and
  - e. Proximity to Imperial War Museum Nitrate Film Archive.

### Flood Risk

38. Application S/2236/05/F was only refused on the grounds that insufficient information had been submitted to allow the Local Planning Authority to fully assess the impact of the proposed development upon the floodplain regime. In response to the revised Flood Risk Assessment submitted as part of this application, the Environment Agency has confirmed that the scheme is acceptable in terms of flood risk.

Whether this countryside site is an appropriate location for the proposed development

39. Whilst some of the activities that would be undertaken at the site are laboratory based or service facilities, I remain satisfied that the use is essentially a field based enterprise which requires a location close to the land on which the trials take place. The proposed site is centrally located within the land on which the trials are to take place and I am therefore satisfied that the proposal has been demonstrated to be essential in this particular rural location in terms of Structure Plan Policy P1/2. The seed supply part of the activity, which I consider does not necessarily need a countryside location, has already relocated from Trumpington to Stretham.

Impact of the development on the visual amenities of the landscape, including light pollution

- 40. Due to its scale, the development will have an impact in the landscape. However, by being set in a valley and provided significant new planting as proposed is carried out, I still consider that the visual impact of the development would be acceptable.
- 41. Subject to safeguarding conditions, I consider that light pollution from the site can be kept to an acceptable level.

Highway matters

42. The Local Highway Authority has raised no objections to the proposal stating that the proposed off-site passing bays and site access specification to mitigate the affect of the increase in vehicular traffic on the narrow carriageway of Grange Road is acceptable.

Proximity to Imperial War Museum Nitrate Film Archive.

43. The Fire Service was consulted on application S/2236/05/F in terms of the proximity of the site to the nitrate film archive and raised no objections. At the time permission was granted for the film archive in 2000 (S/1104/00/F), the Fire Service stated that "it is apparent that the risk of an incident occurring at the site is extremely remote. If a fire was to occur then it would be restricted to one cell and would self extinguish in all probability before the arrival of the Fire and Rescue Service". I therefore still consider that there is no reason to refuse the application in terms of the proximity of the development to the adjacent film archive storage facility.

Nature Conservation

44. The Ecology Officer strongly supports the application stating that an adequate level of biodiversity assessment has been undertaken and the application demonstrates a net gain for biodiversity.

#### Recommendation

- 45. Approval (as amended by MTC's 24.03.06 letter and enclosures, including drawing no. 649-02 Rev.B, date stamped 27.04.06).
  - 1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of 3 years from the date of this permission (Reason To ensure that consideration of any future application for development in the area will not be prejudiced by permissions for development which have not been acted upon.)
  - 2. No development shall commence until details of the colour of the building and materials to be used for hard surfaced areas within the site including roads, driveways and car parking areas have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority; the development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details (Reason To ensure the satisfactory appearance of the development.)
  - 3. The buildings hereby permitted shall not be occupied until a Green Travel to Work Plan has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority; implementation of the Plan shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details of the Plan (Reason To encourage car sharing and the use of alternative means of travel to the site other than by car.)
  - 4. No development shall commence until details of the black-out screens for 'Greenhouses A-H, J and K' as shown on drawing no. 0511/12 rev A have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority; the approved screens shall be in place whenever the greenhouses are artificially lit during the hours of darkness (Reason - To ensure that the development does not result in light pollution and thereby ensure that the development would not detract from the visual amenity of the countryside.)
  - 5. There shall be no artificial lighting of the polytunnels or 'Greenhouse L' as shown on drawing no. 0511/12 rev A (Reason It is not proposed to artificially light these buildings; to ensure that the development does not result in light pollution and thereby ensure that the development would not detract from the visual amenity of the countryside.)
  - 6. There shall be no external lighting, including lighting mounted on buildings, on the site other than in accordance with details which shall previously have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority (Reason To ensure that the development does not result in light pollution and thereby ensure that the development would not detract from the visual amenity of the countryside.)
  - The buildings hereby permitted shall not be occupied until the Passing Bays and Site Access shown upon drawing no. R1526/2d have been constructed (Reason -In the interest of highway safety.)
  - 8. Visibility splays shall be provided on both sides of the access onto Grange Road and shall be maintained free from any obstruction over a height of 600mm within an area of 4.5m x 215m measured from and along respectively the edge of the carriageway (Reason In the interest of highway safety.)
  - 9. No development shall commence until there has been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority a scheme of landscaping, which shall include details of the roadside hedgerow on the north side of Grange Road (Reason - To enhance the quality of the development and to assimilate it within the area.)
  - 10. All planting, seeding or turfing comprised in the approved details of landscaping shall be carried out in the first planting and seeding seasons following the occupation of the buildings or the completion of the development, whichever is the sooner; and any trees or plants which within a period of five years from the completion of the development die, are removed or become seriously damaged or

- diseased shall be replaced in the next planting season with others of similar size and species, unless the Local Planning Authority gives written consent to any variation (Reason To enhance the quality of the development and to assimilate it within the area.)
- 11. The development hereby permitted shall not commence until a binding undertaking prepared in accordance with the requirements of Section 106 of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990 shall have been entered into which requires the submission, agreement and implementation of a scheme for the management and monitoring of the chalk and meadow grasslands for a period of 10 years from the date of the implementation of the scheme (Reason To ensure the development makes a net gain for biodiversity as required by Planning Policy Statement 9.)
- 12. Unless otherwise approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority, the number of employees working at the application site at any one time shall not exceed 45 (Reason Given its countryside location away from settlements and accessed via a narrow road, based on the information submitted, the site was only considered appropriate to provide accommodation for approximately the number of employees described in the application.)
- 13. The surface water drainage scheme for the site shall be constructed in accordance with Flood Risk Assessment reference 649/05 Rev A and details held within letter dated 24th March 2006 (Drg No 649-02 Rev B), unless otherwise agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority (Reason To ensure that surface water run-off from the development is adequately controlled to prevent the increased risk of flooding to existing property)
- 14. The pond and associated flow control shall be maintained in accordance with the parameters set out in the Flood Risk Assessment Reference 649/05 Rev A for the lifetime of the development (Reason To ensure that surface water runoff from the development is adequately controlled and maintained to prevent the increased flooding elsewhere due to the development)
- 15. Prior to the commencement of any development, a scheme for the provision and implementation of pollution control to the water environment shall be submitted to and agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority. The works/scheme shall be constructed and completed in accordance with the approved plans/specification at such time(s) as may be specified in the approved scheme (Reason To prevent the increased risk of pollution to the water environment)

# **Reasons for Approval**

- 1. The development is considered generally to accord with the Development Plan and particularly the following policies:
  - Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003: P1/2 (Environmental Restrictions on Development) and P6/3 (Flood Defence)
  - South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004: CS5 (Flood Protection), EN1 (Landscape Character Areas), EN3 (Landscaping and Design Standards for New Development in the Countryside), EN5 (Landscaping of New Development) and EN12 (Nature Conservation)
- 2. The development is not considered to be significantly detrimental to the following material planning considerations which have been raised during the consultation exercise: Visual impact of development; Additional congestion and highway safety; Proximity to Imperial War Museum nitrate film storage facility; Appropriateness of a countryside location for this industrial development; Impact on protected verges; Farm diversification; Travel to work issues; Renewable energy; Management of proposed landscaping; Archaeology; and Flood risk.

**Background Papers:** the following background papers were used in the preparation of this report:

South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004 Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003 Planning file Refs: S/0562/06/F, S/2236/05/F, S/0401/05/PNA and S/1104/00/F

**Contact Officer:** Andrew Moffat – Area Planning Officer

Telephone: (01954) 713169